

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/134,419	08/14/1998	DOUGLAS T. ROSS	23138-S	7968
29728	7590 02/16/2006		EXAMINER ·	
GUILFORD PHARMACEUTICALS C/O FOLEY & LARDNER LLP			LEWIS, PA	ATRICK T
3000 K STR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ON, DC 20007-5143		1623	

DATE MAILED: 02/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	T =						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/134,419	ROSS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Patrick T. Lewis	1623					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
	nril 2002						
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 April 2002</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Glosed in accordance with the practice drider is	.x parte Quayre, 1505 C.B. 11, 40	30 3.3. 210.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-4,6,8-11 and 21-37 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) 1-4,6,8-11,21 and 23-37 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) 22 is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>August 14, 1998</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
•	·						
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	atent Application (PTO-152)						
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· 						

Application/Control Number: 09/134,419

Art Unit: 1623

DETAILED ACTION

Prosecution Reopened

1. In view of the Appeal Brief filed on April 2, 2002, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. New grounds of rejections are set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

(1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,

(2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant must pay the difference between the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

A Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) has approved of reopening prosecution by signing below:

Dr. Shaojia A. Jiang

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1600

Applicant's Response Dated April 2, 2002

2. Claims 1-4, 6, 8-11 and 21-37 are pending. An action on the merits of claims 1-4, 6, 8-11 and 21-37 is contained herein below.

- 3. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.
- 4. The rejection of claims 1-4, 6, 8-11 and 21-37 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 7-10, 18-21 and 28-31 of U.S. Patent No. 5,786,378 has been withdrawn.
- 5. The rejection of claims 1-4, 6, 8-11 and 21-37 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hamilton et al. US 5,786,378 ('378) has been withdrawn.

Information Disclosure Statement

6. The listing of references in the specification, applicant's arguments/remarks or other correspondence documents is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609.04(a) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered. The Teri et al. reference and others not properly cited on an information disclosure statement or PTO-892 are not of record and have not been considered.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/134,419

Art Unit: 1623

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 8. Claims 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 8-9 depend from a canceled claim.
- 9. The following is a quotation of the fourth paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Subject to the following paragraph, a claim in dependent form shall contain a reference to a claim previously set forth and then specify a further limitation of the subject matter claimed. A claim in dependent form shall be construed to incorporate by reference all the limitations of the claim to which it refers.

10. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, fourth paragraph.

Claim 6 is not within the scope of claim 1. Claim 6 is drawn to the treatment of a different population (animal without any ophthalmologic disorder, disease, or injury). Claim 1 is limited to the treatment of a mammal "in need thereof". Thus the method of claim 6 does not incorporate all of the limitation of claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

11. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 09/134,419 Page 5

Art Unit: 1623

12. Claims 1-4, 10-11, 21, and 23-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hamilton et al. 5,786,378 ('378).

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

'378 teaches the treatment of Alzheimer's disease employing compounds of formulae (I) and (III) (column 7, line 35 to column 8, line 27; column 12, lines 21-29). The treatment of Alzheimer's disease reads upon "treating memory impairment". The instant specification states, "'Memory impairment' refers to a diminished mental registration, retention or recall of past experiences, knowledge, ideas, sensations, thoughts or impressions." As interpreted by the specification, "memory impairment" embraces "any disorder in which memory deficiency is present". The neurotrophic low molecular weight, small molecule FKBP inhibitor compounds have an affinity for FKBP-type immunophilins, such as FKBP-12. Compounds 28-31 are nitrogen-containing heterocyclic compounds having two or more hetero atoms containing the instant N-linked substituent (Table II).

Application/Control Number: 09/134,419 Page 6

Art Unit: 1623

Conclusion

13. Claims 1-4, 6, 8-11 and 21-37 are pending. Claims 1-4, 6, 8-11, 21, and 23-37 are rejected. Claim 22 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Page 7

Contacts

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick T. Lewis whose telephone number is 571-272-0655. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 10 am to 3 pm (Maxi Flex).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shaojia A. Jiang can be reached on 571-272-0627. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

∱atrick T. L⊕wis, Pl Primary Examiner Art Unit 1623

ptl